## MINUTES OF THE SPECIAL CITY COUNCIL MEETING TUESDAY- -JANUARY 25, 2011- -7:00 P.M.

Mayor Gilmore convened the meeting at 7:36 p.m. Councilmember deHaan led the Pledge of Allegiance.

ROLL CALL - Present: Councilmembers Bonta, deHaan, Johnson, Tam and

Mayor Gilmore – 5.

Absent: None.

## AGENDA ITEM

(<u>11-042</u>) Recommendation to Receive a Presentation by Kemper Sports Management on the Chuck Corica Golf Complex and Provide Direction to the Acting City Manager to Continue Negotiations, Develop Deal Points for the Preferred Option, and Return to the City Council with a Long-Team Lease Agreement.

The Acting City Manager gave a brief presentation.

Ben Blake, Kemper Sports Management, gave a Power Point presentation.

In response to Mayor Gilmore's inquiry regarding the cost going from \$400,000 for 36 holes down to \$100,000 for 27 holes, Mr. Blake stated the budget for a 36-hole golf course and facility is around \$1.4 million; the cost is about \$300,000 per 9 holes; taking 9 holes out of play in terms of water, labor, mowing, fertilizer, and overall equipment, saves \$300,000.

Councilmember Johnson inquired whether 2.5% growth is predicted for the current year, to which Mr. Blake responded the growth would be after improvements are completed.

Councilmember Johnson stated numbers provided for other courses in the area show a decrease in rounds for 2010; Alameda had an 8.7% decrease in 2010, a 3%decrease in 2009 and an over 9% decrease in 2008, which is significant.

Mr. Blake stated this season has been one of the rainiest in years, which has had an impact on the whole market; the National Golf Foundation (NGF) has access to many golf courses and is showing an 8.7% drop.

Councilmember Johnson inquired whether the trend is nationwide, to which Mr. Blake responded in the affirmative; stated NGF provides a monthly report, which he could share with the Council.

In response to Councilmember Johnson's inquiry about how essential the 2.5% increase in play is, Mr. Blake stated Kemper is willing to take the risks and believes the golf course and facility have the potential; if improvements are made and good

conditions are consistently kept, Kemper believes play can come back; clearly, the golf industry is not well.

Councilmember Johnson stated things are not going well in the economy; 2.5% growth might be aggressive; inquired what would happen if the increase is not met.

Mr. Blake responded Kemper is taking the operating risk; stated location tends to be a big driver; the location is good; Kemper has to get the golf course in top condition and make improvements to compete in the market place.

Councilmember Johnson stated increases in green fees should not be relied upon.

Councilmember deHaan stated Kemper is proposing \$5 million in capital improvements for 27 holes and \$8 million for 36 holes; stated the amounts do not equate and he has problems with them.

Mr. Blake stated the \$8 million was from the NGF study and included major renovations of changing holes and building new greens.

Councilmember deHaan inquired what the real capital improvement would be for 36 holes, to which Mr. Blake responded Kemper does not believe that 36 holes work because there is not enough demand; Kemper can only afford to spend around \$5 million based on the number of rounds and revenues to make economics work.

In response to Councilmember deHaan's inquiry whether the contract would be for 30 years, Mr. Blake stated the deal would be for 20 to 30 years; the number of years has not been negotiated.

Councilmember deHaan inquired whether Kemper would invest the \$5 million upfront, to which Mr. Blake responded in the affirmative.

Councilmember deHaan inquired how long improvements would take, to which Mr. Blake responded hopefully, two years, but possibly 3 years.

Councilmember deHaan inquired whether Kemper would spend \$5 million for 36 holes, to which Mr. Blake responded Kemper does not believe 36 holes is an option and does not have an interest in doing 36 holes; stated Kemper has an interest in spending \$5 million to renovate 27 holes because Kemper believes the course would be better off with 27 good holes; Kemper does not believe \$5 million can be spent on 36 holes; the demand for 36 holes is not there; the issue is as much supply and demand as anything else.

Councilmember deHaan inquired whether the City has an analysis of the spreadsheets Kemper provided, to which Mr. Blake responded in the affirmative; stated Kemper prepared 6 scenarios and there were numerous meetings [with City staff] on the scenarios.

Councilmember deHaan inquired whether Kemper does not see 36 holes as feasible and is not interested in going in that direction, to which Mr. Blake responded that is correct in terms of Kemper investing the money.

Councilmember Tam stated 108 new holes have been added to the region over the last 10 years; inquired whether there was an expectation that golf would be growing when the new courses were built.

Mr. Blake responded real estate developers bought large amounts of land, needed open space and elected to build golf courses, which raises property values; building the courses had nothing to do with the economics of golf itself; 3,000 to 4,000 courses could closed [nationwide] to get the equilibrium back.

Councilmember Tam inquired whether demand would increase enough to make having 36 holes during the 20 to 30 year period [of the lease].

Mr. Blake responded Kemper does not believe play will get back to peak levels for many, many years, if ever; stated the industry thought the baby boomers were going to play more golf; with the economy, people working more, and people having different interests, statistics show baby boomers are not playing as much as was anticipated; there are many issues, one of which is people think golf takes too much time and does not fit in the active, shorter segment lifestyle.

In response to Councilmember Tam's inquiry whether Kemper believes the 2.5% growth assumption is realistic, Mr. Blake stated the location and product could drive that kind of growth with marketing.

Councilmember Tam inquired whether the risk is on Kemper, to which Mr. Blake responded in the affirmative.

Councilmember Tam stated when the lease with Kemper started in March, the City no longer collected the Return on Investment (ROI) and the reserve was a little more than \$612,000; inquired whether depletion [of the reserve] has been due to decline [in play] and maintenance work.

The Acting City Manager responded the City is still collecting the cost allocation, Payment in Lieu of Taxes (PILOT) and payment surcharge; stated the ROI was discontinued this fiscal year; the amounts in the current budget are \$319,640 for cost allocation, \$202,220 for PILOT, and \$171,960 for the payment surcharge.

The Recreation and Parks Director stated the fund balance varies widely due to the seasonal nature of the game; the amount is higher in spring than in winter, especially due to all the rain this winter; work had to be done on the cart chargers, the driving range fencing, and the retaining wall on Island Drive.

Councilmember Tam stated that she would like to better understand the rate of depletion of the fund balance; further stated the staff report recognizes that the fund balance would be depleted over time because of the decline in revenues.

The Recreation and Park Director stated the amount would probably last 18 months as long as there are no serious maintenance issues.

Councilmember Tam inquired whether the fund still continues to go down in spite of the rate at which the fund is depleted decreasing when Kemper started, to which the Recreation and Parks Director responded in the affirmative; stated the [depletion] amount was \$700,000 when the City was operating the course and is \$350,000 under Kemper; Kemper has cut the amount in half.

Councilmember Johnson inquired whether spending \$5 million on 36 holes would not allow Kemper to improve the course to the extent needed to increase the level of play to generate more revenue, to which Mr. Blake responded in the affirmative.

Councilmember deHaan stated the estimate to upgrade the Mif was \$500,000; inquired whether the amount is similar to Kemper's estimate, to which Mr. Blake responded that he would have to review the estimate; stated Kemper's budget is very specific about the what needs to be done.

Vice Mayor Bonta inquired whether there are other 36-hole courses and whether having 36 or 27 holes is more common.

City Consultant David Sams responded there are a few 36 hole courses in the East Bay area; stated the courses were probably built over 30 or 40 years ago; in the last 20 years, 2 notable courses that have been built, Cinnabar Hills and Poppy Ridge, have 27 holes; both could have constructed 36 holes and after extensive study, determined 27 holes would return a better profit; the trend now is to build 27 or 18 holes.

In response to Mayor Gilmore's inquiry how the 27 hole golf courses are doing financially, Mr. Sams responded the courses are doing better than they would be doing with 36 holes.

Vice Mayor Bonta inquired whether one option is for Kemper to continue to manage the current course under a management agreement without a long-term lease, to which Mr. Blake responded in the affirmative; stated the presentation was on Kemper's proposal to invest \$5 million; if the City opts to keep 36 holes, Kemper would love to continue to manage the property; 36 holes on the investment side does not work for Kemper.

Vice Mayor Bonta inquired whether there would be capital investment under the 36-hole scenario, to which Mr. Blake responded in the negative.

In response to Vice Mayor Bonta's inquiry about what Kemper anticipates would happen under said scenario, Mr. Blake stated the course needs capital improvements to be

competitive; that he would expect the number of rounds and revenue to continue to decline if improvements are not made; the market is competitive and rates have been lowered; golfers are sophisticated and can compare pricing on line; a good product is needed to compete.

Vice Mayor Bonta inquired whether the reserve would continue to be tapped under a management agreement for 36 holes, to which Mr. Blake responded in the affirmative.

Vice Mayor Bonta inquired the amount of lease payments that would be made to the City under the 27-hole scenario.

Mr. Blake responded modeling has been done showing rounds, revenues and expenses; stated the negotiations with the City regarding the length of the lease and other factors would determine how the profit would be divvied up.

The Acting City Manager stated the amount, which would return for Council approval, would not be collected for several years until capital improvements are finished; the amount has not been negotiated.

Vice Mayor Bonta inquired whether there are similar leases, to which Mr. Blake responded Mr. Sams could get said information for the City.

Vice Mayor Bonta stated Mr. Blake indicated the City would continue to receive lease payments even if Kemper's projections were not realized; inquired whether there is potential for profit sharing if growth projections are exceeded.

Mr. Blake responded in the affirmative; stated the matter is negotiable; Kemper would evaluate its risk; the deal has to be good for both parties or it will not work; there will be a finite amount of money and the City and Kemper have to figure out what is fair.

Councilmember Johnson inquired whether Kemper believes the course could be viable for the next 40 years without City subsidy, to which Mr. Blake responded capital improvements have not been made for a number of years and the useful life of items have been exceeded.

In response to Councilmember Johnson's inquiry whether the course would see a greater decline in rounds due to the declining condition of the course, Mr. Blake stated the City has to consider said risk; the rate is consistent with other courses; as better courses lower rates, players can trade up.

Councilmember Johnson stated Alameda residents have told her that they play other courses for \$25, including a cart, which would cost \$38 in Alameda.

Mr. Blake stated golfers like variety; course conditions tend to be the number one driver in repeat play; courses not in good condition have a hard time competing.

Councilmember Johnson inquired whether Kemper believes its proposal could keep the course competitive for the next 40 years, to which Mr. Blake responded in the affirmative.

<u>Speakers</u>: Grace Na, Alameda High Ladies Golf Team; Sharon Nam, Alameda High Ladies Golf Team; Jane Sullwold, Golf Commission; Joe Van Winkle, Alameda; Paul Weir, Alameda Golf Club; John Curliano, Alameda; Bill Weir, Alameda Junior Golf Association; Bill Schmitz, Golf Commission and Commuters Committee; Robert Sullwold, Alameda; Bob Blanchard, Alameda Commuters Golf Club; Jon Spangler, Alameda; Jim Strehlow, Alameda; Tony Corica, Alameda; Ron Salsig, Alameda; Karen Bey, Alameda; former Councilmember Lil Arnerich, Alameda; Bob Bloomberg, Alameda; William Moore; and Steven Slauson, Alameda.

The following people submitted speaker slips, but did not speak: Tom Medaglia, Alameda Golf Club Commuters; Emish Radloff, Alameda Golf Club; Dave Bratzler, Alameda; Dennis Knights, Alameda Golf Club; Jon Hasegawa, Alameda Junior Golf Association/Alameda Golf Club; Betsy Gammell, Golf Commission/Junior Golf Board/Alameda Women's Golf Club; Tim Scates, Alameda; Alexander Stevens, Alameda; Pam Curtis, Alameda; George Gammell, Alameda Men's Golf Club; Mike Schmitz, Alameda Men's Golf Club; Howard Brizending, Alameda Men's Golf Club; Bill Gibbs, Alameda Men's Golf Club; and Ron Taylor, Alameda Men's Golf Club.

Mayor Gilmore stated that she has questions about the capacity to hold tournaments, such as Commuters and East Bay Junior Golf; inquired what would happen with the 27-hole configuration and how both tournament players and members of the public would be accommodated.

John Vest, Kemper Sports, responded the East Bay Juniors and Commuters events are very important to Kemper and have a lot of history; stated Kemper wants to continue to have said events; the East Bay Juniors event involves over 200 players on a Tuesday and Wednesday; the players would take up the majority of the 27 holes, which would impact open play during parts of the day; a special could be run to accommodate open players in the afternoon; Kemper's priority would be to accommodate tournament players.

Mayor Gilmore inquired whether non-tournament players are impacted with the current 36-hole configuration, to which Mr. Vest responded not as much; stated Kemper gets creative and puts players on the opposite 9 holes.

Mayor Gilmore inquired whether renovations would require the course to be shut down or whether certain parts would just be shut down.

Mr. Blake responded Kemper would keep 18 holes open at a minimum; stated the goal is to close as little as possible and get the work done as quickly as possible.

Vice Mayor Bonta inquired whether both 27 and 36 holes can accommodate the

tournaments, however 27 holes would cause an impact on open players, to which Mr. Vest responded in the affirmative; stated players just dropping by might have to wait.

Vice Mayor Bonta inquired whether the Commuters and East Bay Juniors tournaments could be run as successfully as in the past [with 27 holes], to which Mr. Vest responded in the affirmative.

In response to Mayor Gilmore's inquiry about how the tournament works with 27 holes, Mr. Vest stated there would be two waves of tee times: one in the morning and one in the afternoon.

Mayor Gilmore inquired whether both waves would be able to finish play before dark and whether the next phase of the tournament would be done the next day, to which Mr. Vest responded in the affirmative; stated players teeing off in the afternoon the first day, would tee off earlier the next day; players would not be affected the second weekend because only 18 holes are played.

In response to Councilmember Tam's inquiry whether differentiating costs relative to the renovation of 36 holes versus 27 holes is possible, Mr. Blake stated 36 holes of irrigation has a higher cost than 27 holes; the goal is to have three very nice 9 holes; spreading the money over 36 holes could dilute underground and visual repairs to not have the same impact as 27 holes; Kemper wants 27 high quality holes and there is not enough money to do that for 36 holes.

Councilmember Johnson stated there is preference for the north course over the south course; inquired how the three 9 holes would work together and how people would not mind playing 9 holes of the south course.

Mr. Blake responded the existing 18 holes on the north would be cleaned up and infrastructure would be improved; stated visual improvements would be directed to the third 9 holes [on the south course] to have it match the two 9 holes on the north course; there are enough trees [on the 9 holes at the south course that would be renovated] to give it a similar feel; the fourth 9 holes [on the south course] are much more open, have less trees, and he is not sure a match could be accomplished on said holes.

Councilmember Johnson inquired whether Kemper's vision is to operate the course like Poppy Ridge, to which Mr. Blake responded in the affirmative; stated there would be three starting tees and the three nines would be rotated.

Councilmember deHaan stated the south course has more land; the north course is more traditional for an average player; there is a demand for longer courses; there is ability to have two tournaments right now; 27 holes would push out regular players when there are tournaments; 27 holes might be done when building a new course because of capital outlay; Alameda is blessed with very low debt service; other courses have to make lots of money just to cover debt service; inquired how many acres there would be from closing down 9 holes, to which the Recreation and Parks Director

responded 40 acres.

Councilmember deHaan stated a certain amount maintenance would be required for the 40 acres, which would be a cost to the City; parks cost \$300,000 to \$500,000 to operate; said burden would be pushed back onto the City; the drainage systems feed each other; there are problems with the canal service, which receives runoff from Harbor Bay Business Park; better management allowed the course to open after rain days ahead of other courses, which is commendable; that he has not had the opportunity to see [Kemper's] data; the Council needs to understand what is on the table; he raised the golf funding issue 6 years ago; the golf course has to make money; good logical decisions have to be made; the process has taken a year; that he has not received the information, which should be put on the table and brought to the Council, public and Golf Commission; transparency is important; Kemper has done a great job; changing course mid stream would be a travesty; that he wishes Kemper would be open to 36 holes.

Mayor Gilmore inquired whether closing 9 holes and allowing the acreage to go fallow would cause a drainage problem, to which the Recreation and Parks Director responded drainage would remain the same if the existing system were left as is.

In response to Mayor Gilmore's inquiry about drainage and fallow acreage, the Recreation and Parks Director stated renovations to the drainage system for the rest of the course would be designed knowing existing conditions, including water being received from the Business Park; costs would depend upon usage of the fallow acreage; passive uses, such as dog walking, would have minimal costs; the cheapest solution would be to shut down the area and do weed abatement.

\* \* \*

Councilmember Tam left the dais at 9:58 p.m. and returned at 10:00 p.m.

Councilmember Johnson stated juniors have been able to play golf because it is affordable; most other cities do not allow junior residents to play for \$1 and non-resident juniors to play for \$10; keeping some parts of the fee schedule, especially monthly passes for residents, is important; the course is an asset and should be kept affordable for Alameda residents; annual passes, junior rates and senior discounts should be kept; inquired whether Kemper intends to keep said rates.

Mr. Blake responded Kemper and the City would review the fee schedule together; stated the process would be transparent.

The Recreation and Parks Director stated including the rates in the final contract, which would come back to Council, is common.

Councilmember Johnson stated the high school golf teams use the courses; that she would like said issues spelled out; right now, Alameda residents can play cheaper at

other courses due to special deals; keeping rates affordable for Alameda residents is important.

Mr. Blake stated Kemper now has the ability to run discounts.

The Recreation and Parks Director stated adoption of the Master Fee Resolution allowed Kemper to run promotions.

Councilmember Johnson inquired whether rate issues were reviewed as part of the economics and whether investing \$5 million will work economically, to which Mr. Blake responded in the affirmative.

Mayor Gilmore stated the Council has been very clear how it feels about promoting junior golf, which is a priority going forward; the course has always been a value course; renovations are needed; the course should not be priced out of the reach of residents.

Mr. Blake stated the market would dictate the issue; golfers would be lost if Kemper does not react to aggressive pricing.

Councilmember Johnson stated that she wants provisions in the lease to ensure residents have lower rates.

Mr. Blake stated 35% of the play is residents; Kemper would want flexibility on non-resident play.

Mayor Gilmore stated that she would like Ms. Sullwold and Mr. Van Winkle to address a hypothetical assuming Kemper is right regarding there being an over supply of golf in the area, the number of rounds, and the amount of investment that can be made; inquired where compromises could be made between saving 36 holes and saving golf for the future.

Mr. Van Winkle responded assuming Kemper is right on supply and demand and the \$5 million to renovate 27 holes, he would further assume renovating 36 holes would cost \$5.9 million; that he expected Kemper to offer to fill the gap tonight with the \$1 million set aside to build a new Mif; based on the assumptions, there is a capacity problem and juniors would be crowded out.

Mr. Sullwold reviewed figures; stated the amount to renovate 36 holes should be closer to the \$6 million proposed by Bellows, rather than the \$8 million from the NGF study; that she is fighting the hypothetical because there should be an opportunity to ask Kemper questions about the assumptions; following the assumptions, leads down the path that the City has to go with Kemper [recommendations]; however, said point has not been reached; there should be a chance to ask questions.

Mr. Van Winkle stated there could be some synergy, such as shifting money saved from not needing junior tees to improving 36 holes.

Mayor Gilmore stated staff indicated the golf reserve fund would be burned through in 18 months at the current rate; it is agreed that capital investment is needed; questioned whether the golf course should be bet against the City taking more time, deciding to keep 36 holes, going out with another Request for Proposals (RFP), and negotiating a contract before 18 months runs out; stated that she does not want to be in a situation where there is no money left in the golf fund balance and the City is looking to subsidize the golf course from General Fund revenues; the City took money from the golf fund in the past; the money is gone; that she would not take a decision between golf and other services, such as police, fire and libraries, to the voters.

Ms. Sullwold questioned whether the City should be forced into making a decision for the next 20 to 30 years because the City will not take the time to investigate the very superficial presentation made tonight.

Mayor Gilmore stated one knows there would be golf in Alameda for at least two generations [going with Kemper's recommendation].

Mr. Van Winkle stated going out with an RFP or Request For Inquiries (RFI) would be reasonable; companies that would not respond to the previous RFP have expressed interest.

Councilmember Tam stated the City talked to 65 firms and received two RFP responses; requested a review of the process; inquired what was the ability for Bellows to issue debt.

The Recreation and Parks Director responded the difference in the funding mechanism for the capital is that Kemper's funding would come from a \$7 million corporate bond that Kemper would guarantee and the last discussions with Bellows in 2009, involved the City issuing the bond and making a donation from the enterprise fund balance; the Kemper offer poses less risk to the City.

Councilmember Tam inquired whether Mr. Van Winkle has information on the other companies' ability to issue bonds, to which Mr. Van Winkle responded in the negative; stated when he called the other companies about operating the Mif, they offered the comments; that he has not investigated the companies' bonding ability; all run lots of big golf courses.

Councilmember deHaan stated a new process should not be started; Kemper put together data; that he has not seen the data; he would not make a decision until he sees the data; Council should be given the opportunity to review the existing information and the analysis of 27 versus 36 holes; that he does not need to negotiate with another party; he needs to understand the deal at this point.

Mr. Blake stated Kemper delivered the information to the previous Interim City Manager.

Councilmember deHaan stated the information should have been provided to the Council and Golf Commission.

Councilmember Johnson inquired when the first RFP was issued; stated Kemper has been operating the golf course for over 2 years.

The Acting City Manager responded July 2008.

In response to Councilmember Johnson's inquiry when the golf study was done, the Acting City Manager responded it was presented to Council in January 2008.

Councilmember Johnson stated the issue has been ongoing for over 3 years; money continues to be spent down; cutting the transfer money requires Police and Fire services to be cut; decisions are not easy; inquired how long completing the negotiations would take.

The Recreation and Parks Director responded 60 to 90 days.

Councilmember Johnson inquired whether staff cannot move forward until Council provides direction on the configuration, to which the Recreation and Parks Director responded in the affirmative; stated the Mif issue has been settled; the configuration is the only other thing.

Mayor Gilmore inquired what the upcoming agendas look like, to which the Acting City Manager responded there are a number of large items on February 1<sup>st</sup>; budget adjustments would be brought to Council on February 15<sup>th</sup>; both meetings are going to be quite lengthy; redevelopment would be discussed on February 1<sup>st</sup>.

Mayor Gilmore stated if the matter is put off to a later date, she would not be inclined to send it to the Golf Commission; she would be willing to have it come back to Council for a drop dead vote, which would give an opportunity for the back up information to be reviewed and discussed; a decision needs to be made.

Ms. Sullwold stated if the Golf Commission receives the information, a meeting could be held to allow the Commission to provide a written report to Council and e-mail questions to Mr. Blake.

Councilmember Tam stated that she thinks the compromise seems good; that she would like to see the plans Kemper submitted; the Council and Golf Commission should have the opportunity to review the information; questioned whether the matter could be placed on the meeting when redevelopment would be addressed.

The Acting City Manager responded the agenda for the February 1<sup>st</sup> meeting would be distributed in two days.

Councilmember Tam inquired whether the matter could be placed on February 15<sup>th</sup>, to

which the Acting City Manager responded the meeting would be very late.

In response to Mayor Gilmore's inquiry about a date shortly thereafter, the Acting City Manager responded March 1<sup>st</sup> is the next meeting.

Mayor Gilmore inquired whether the matter could be added to the March ARRA night, to which the Acting City Manager responded in the affirmative; stated that she would check to see if Kemper is available.

Mayor Johnson inquired whether a special meeting could be held, to which the Acting City Manager responded Council could be polled.

Councilmember Tam inquired whether February 16<sup>th</sup> would work.

Mayor Gilmore inquired whether the Clerk could poll for February 16<sup>th</sup>.

Councilmembers indicated availability.

Mayor Gilmore stated the preferred date is February 16<sup>th</sup>; March 2<sup>nd</sup> would be the alternate date depending on Kemper's availability.

Mr. Van Winkle inquired whether Wadsworth's attendance could be considered since the company is a critical member of moving forward.

In response to Mayor Gilmore's inquiry regarding a reason to do so, Mr. Van Winkle stated Wadsworth is going to advise Junior Golf how it will work, including renovation; renovation plans need to work together; waiting until March 2<sup>nd</sup> might allow Wadsworth to attend.

Mayor Gilmore stated Wadsworth could be invited either date.

Councilmember Tam suggested Mr. Van Winkle share the plans with Wadsworth.

Mayor Gilmore stated the Council direction is to bring the matter back on February 16<sup>th</sup> or March 2<sup>nd</sup>.

Ms. Sullwold inquired whether she could request that information on the Kemper proposal be provided to the Golf Commission, to which Mayor Gilmore responded in the affirmative.

Councilmember deHaan inquired whether more direction could be given on the information that would be provided back; that he is not sure everyone wants to go said direction, but both options [27 and 36 holes] should be reviewed; data would be provided to the Golf Commission; that he would like to understand what will happen to the other 9 holes if the 27 hole option is chosen; he wants to know costs and needs to understand water runoff; he wants said feedback at the next meeting.

Mayor Gilmore stated the direction to Kemper is to provide the details supporting what was presented tonight; Council is not asking for anything new.

Councilmember deHaan stated that he is asking for the information from staff, not Kemper.

Mayor Gilmore stated Kemper needs to let the City know when it is available and provide backup details.

The Acting City Manager stated information for both the 27 and 36 hole scenarios would be provided; further stated the Council would decide what to do with the land if 9 holes are closed; staff has options, not plans; the decision about whether or not to go with 27 holes needs to be decided before staff does a large amount of work.

Mayor Gilmore stated that she does not want staff to do so; Council just wants to know the amount of the hit to the Recreation and Parks Department budget.

The Acting City Manager noted staff could negotiate with Kemper to do the mowing.

## ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, Mayor Gilmore adjourned the meeting at 10:39 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Lara Weisiger City Clerk

The agenda for this meeting was posted in accordance with the Brown Act.